



RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

Fitch Affirms Manappuram Finance at 'BB-'; Outlook Stable

Mon 04 Sep, 2023 - 9:10 PM ET

Fitch Ratings - Singapore/Mumbai - 04 Sep 2023: Fitch Ratings has affirmed India-based Manappuram Finance Limited's (MFIN) Long-Term Foreign- and Local-Currency Issuer Default Ratings (IDRs) at 'BB-'. The Outlook is Stable.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Standalone Profile Drives Ratings: MFIN's ratings reflect its moderate franchise in semi-urban and rural consumer lending, particularly in gold-backed loans, which constitute 56% of the consolidated portfolio. The ratings also reflect steady asset quality from the liquid collateral and generally stable funding access. This is balanced by a shifting business mix that reflects a high risk appetite and a history of regulatory compliance findings.

Improved Sector Risk Operating Environment (SROE): Fitch has revised the SROE score for Indian finance and leasing companies (FLCs) to 'bb+', from 'bb', reflecting improved governance, risk and liquidity management frameworks, due partly to regulatory strengthening in the past few years, and the easing of Covid-19 and commodity shocks on medium-term growth prospects, despite lingering global growth and inflation risks. We expect resilient GDP expansion (financial year ending March 2024 (FY24): 6.3%, FY25: 6.5%) to provide adequate headroom for FLCs to expand profitably in the medium term.

Moderate Franchise: MFIN has a moderate franchise in financing against gold jewellery, with a pan-India branch network and reasonable penetration in the interior rural markets. MFIN has not been able to benefit from its long presence in the gold loan business, with low gold assets under management to branch ratio compared with the industry leader. The business model is evolving, with the non-gold loan segments expanding at a faster pace than core gold loans.

Developing Governance: MFIN's history of compliance lapses relating to customer practices suggest greater governance risk relative to higher-rated peers. This is reflected in the company's ESG Relevance Scores for Customer Welfare and Governance Structure. Further regulatory findings could damage the company's reputation and see it lose its business franchise and funding access. However, the company is taking steps to improve governance and operational practices under board oversight, which should reduce these risks.

MFIN's credit profile is also weighed down by key-person risk, although it has recently implemented a succession plan relating to the founding shareholder, who is also the managing director.

High Risk Appetite: MFIN has a high risk appetite, as evidenced by its rapid growth outside its core segment, along with elevated operational risk from its decentralised branch-led operation. MFIN has pursued higher growth in recent years in riskier non-gold rural segments - microfinance, auto loans and small business loans. This was also spurred by rising market competition in gold loans. The company plans to reduce the share of gold loans in the consolidated portfolio to 50% in the medium term.

Resilient Economy Supports Asset Quality: Gold loans underpin MFIN's steady asset quality due to the security of liquid gold collateral, which can be auctioned fairly reliably. A regulatory loan/value ceiling of 75%, standardised jewellery valuation based on pure gold content and real-time monitoring of gold collateral value mitigate the risk of under-recovery due to gold price declines.

The asset quality of the non-gold portfolio has benefited from an improved economic environment. MFIN's gross non-performing loan ratio fell to 1.9% by end-June 2023, against 2.8% at FYE22. While the rising proportion of non-gold loans could increase asset quality volatility in the long term, we expect asset quality to remain stable in the near to medium term.

High Yields Support Profitability: MFIN's business model benefits from high yields. This is characteristic of lenders with a mostly rural or semi-urban borrower profile. The improved net interest margin of 14.2% in 1QFY24 underpins the lender's healthy pre-tax profit of 6.8% of assets in 1QFY24 (FY23: 5.6%). A rising mix of non-gold loans could lift credit costs, but higher yields from products such as microfinance should mitigate the impact of higher credit costs.

Moderate Leverage: Debt/tangible equity of 2.9x at end-June 2023 (FYE23: 3.0x) benefits from adequate internal capital generation. Leverage is likely to rise modestly in the medium-term along with loan growth, although we expect it to remain generally commensurate with the current rating over the next two years.

Steady Funding: MFIN is wholesale funded, but has adequate borrowings access, as lenders gain confidence from its granular lending portfolio. Bank loans reached 69% of FY23 borrowings (FY22: 50%), due to fewer capital market issuances in a rising interest-rate environment, along with the maturity of its dollar-denominated bonds, which comprised 10% of borrowings at FYE22. MFIN's asset-liability profile is adequately matched, supported by short-tenor gold loans. This could change amid faster growth in long-tenor products, but MFIN plans to maintain its well-matched asset and liability profile.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade

The rating may be downgraded in the event of a material increase in MFIN's risk appetite, possibly from aggressive growth in its non-gold loan segments. A sustained rise in debt/tangible equity beyond 4.5x

or higher losses from reputational, compliance or operational risks than we expect would also be negative for the ratings.

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

There is limited near-term upside, but an upgrade may be possible in the longer-term if the company demonstrates robust regulatory compliance, a strengthened business profile - indicated by higher gold-loan growth relative to non-gold loan segments - along with sustained healthy asset-quality in non-gold segments. This is provided that other credit indicators remain consistent with a higher rating.

DEBT AND OTHER INSTRUMENT RATINGS: KEY RATING DRIVERS

The rating on MFIN's US-dollar medium-term note (MTN) programme is at the same level as its Long-Term Foreign-Currency IDR.

The borrowings of Indian non-bank financial institutions (NBFI) are typically secured, and we believe that non-payment of senior secured debt would best reflect the uncured failure of an entity. NBFIs can issue unsecured debt in the overseas market, but such debt is likely to constitute a small portion of funding and thus cannot be viewed as a primary financial obligation.

DEBT AND OTHER INSTRUMENT RATINGS: RATING SENSITIVITIES

The rating on the MTN programme is sensitive to MFIN's Long-Term Foreign-Currency IDR. Any change in the Long-Term Foreign-Currency IDR will drive similar rating action on the MTN programme.

ADJUSTMENTS

Standalone Credit Profile Adjustments

The 'bb+' sector risk operating environment score is above the 'b' implied score due to the following reasons: size and structure of economy (positive) and economic performance (positive).

The 'bb+' earnings and profitability score is below the 'bbb' implied score due to the following reason: portfolio risk (negative).

The 'bb-' funding, liquidity and coverage score is above the 'ccc' implied score due to the following reason: funding flexibility (positive).

BEST/WORST CASE RATING SCENARIO

International scale credit ratings of Financial Institutions and Covered Bond issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of four notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on

historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit

<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579>

Sources of Information

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the applicable criteria.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

ESG CONSIDERATIONS

MFIN has an ESG Relevance Score of '4' for Customer Welfare - Fair Messaging, Privacy and Data Security, due to a history of customer-related business practices that did not fully comply with regulatory norms. The score reflects our assessment that customer-related practices appear weaker than at rated peers, raising regulatory and reputational risk for MFIN. This has a negative impact on the credit profile and is relevant to the ratings in conjunction with other factors.

MFIN has an ESG Relevance Score of '4' for Governance Structure, due to its history of customer-related business practices that did not fully comply with regulatory norms, which implies that the company has gaps in its governance structure. The score reflects our assessment that governance practices appear weaker than at rated peers, raising regulatory and reputational risk for MFIN. This has a negative impact on the credit profile and is relevant to the ratings in conjunction with other factors.

The highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3', unless otherwise disclosed in this section. A score of '3' means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores are not inputs in the rating process; they are an observation on the relevance and materiality of ESG factors in the rating decision. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/topics/esg/products#esg-relevance-scores

RATING ACTIONS

ENTITY / DEBT ⇅	RATING ⇅			PRIOR ⇅
Manappuram Finance Limited	LT IDR	BB- Rating Outlook Stable	Affirmed	BB- Rating Outlook Stable
	LC LT IDR	BB- Rating Outlook Stable	Affirmed	BB- Rating Outlook Stable

senior secured

LT BB- Affirmed

BB-

[VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS](#)**FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS****Elaine Koh**

Senior Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+65 6796 7239

elaine.koh@fitchratings.com

Fitch Ratings Singapore Pte Ltd.

1 Wallich Street #19-01 Guoco Tower Singapore 078881

Siddharth Goel

Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

+91 22 4000 1760

siddharth.goel@fitchratings.com

Jonathan Lee

Managing Director

Committee Chairperson

+886 2 8175 7601

jonathan.lee@fitchratings.com

MEDIA CONTACTS**Leslie Tan**

Singapore

+65 6796 7234

leslie.tan@thefitchgroup.com

Bindu Menon

Mumbai

+91 22 4000 1727

bindu.menon@fitchratings.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com**PARTICIPATION STATUS**

The rated entity (and/or its agents) or, in the case of structured finance, one or more of the transaction parties participated in the rating process except that the following issuer(s), if any, did not participate in the rating process, or provide additional information, beyond the issuer's available public disclosure.

APPLICABLE CRITERIA

[Country-Specific Treatment of Recovery Ratings Criteria \(pub. 04 Mar 2023\)](#)

[Non-Bank Financial Institutions Rating Criteria \(pub. 06 May 2023\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

[Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form](#)

[Solicitation Status](#)

[Endorsement Policy](#)

ENDORSEMENT STATUS

Manappuram Finance Limited

EU Endorsed, UK Endorsed

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link:

<https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>. In addition, the following <https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document> details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the

requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic

publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

dv01, a Fitch Solutions company, and an affiliate of Fitch Ratings, may from time to time serve as loan data agent on certain structured finance transactions rated by Fitch Ratings.

Copyright © 2023 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

[READ LESS](#)

SOLICITATION STATUS

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained by Fitch at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's [Regulatory Affairs](#) page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.